

Remarks

In the Final Rejection, the Examiner rejected claims 67, 68, 70, 74 and 78 at page 2. All of these claims have been cancelled by this amendment.

At page 4, the remaining claims were indicated as being "allowed," while claim 69 was "objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim" (claim 67.) However, the Examiner indicated that claim 69 "would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim." Claim 69 has been placed in independent form "including all of the limitations of the base claim" (claim 67). Thus, the allowance of claim 69 is believed proper and would be most appreciated.

In view of the foregoing, the formal allowance of this application at an earlier date is herewith respectfully requested.

It is not believed a fee is necessary for this amendment. However, if this belief is in error, please charge any fees required to the deposit account of the undersigned, i.e., Account No. 50-1716.

Respectfully submitted,
DILLER, RAMIK & WIGHT

By: 
Vincent L. Ramik, Reg. No. 20,663

7345 McWhorter Place
Suite 101
Annandale, VA 22003

e-mail: DRWPATENTLAW@AOL.COM